

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,664	12/31/2003	Nicole L. Blankenbeckler	HT3825USNA	9736	
23906	7590 06/29/2006	EXAMINER			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			DICUS, 1	DICUS, TAMRA	
	BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1774		
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/749,664	BLANKENBECKLER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tamra L. Dicus	1774			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Preply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /	April 2006				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is			
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	· · ·				
Dispositi	on of Claims	Expano Quayio, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
		ion				
	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian assuinassat				
الكا(٥	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the $oldsymbol{i}$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
	i		·			
Attachmen:	, ,					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)			
i ape	Trojopinali Dato	o, 🗀 Oulei				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of election of II (4/21/06) and pointing to the left out claims and species requirement, now corrected below.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15, and 20-23, drawn to a food packaging article, classified in class 428, subclass 195.
 - II. Claims 16-19 and 24-31, drawn to a method of preparing or making a food packaging article, classified in class 426, subclass 392.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of I can be made by making the areas of the material prior to application to a substrate.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Claims 18-19, Claims 27-28, and Claims 30-31 are directed to different food products: (1) pizza and (2) lasagna. The species are independent or distinct because the claims to method(s) of making or preparing pizza are independent from lasagna, not requiring lasagna in the method of making or preparing pizza, and vice versa for method of lasagna food packaging.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,664

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 16, 24, and 29 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1774

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pamra L. Dicus Examiner Art Unit 1774

03-17-06

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1774 4/23/01